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Video laryngoscopy for endotracheal intubation of critically ill children (October 2017)

The role of video laryngoscopy (VL, providing indirect laryngoscopy and a display of the glottic view on video monitor) for endotracheal intubation in children has been uncertain. In prior studies comparing VL with direct laryngoscopy (DL) for intubation of non-critically ill children by experienced providers, intubation times were longer for VL and adverse events and successful first pass rates were not improved. A new observational report, based on data from an international registry of airway management in pediatric intensive care units, compares outcomes for over 900 endotracheal intubations using VL with 8875 intubations performed by DL, although children were not randomized to undergo VL or DL and thus likely to have had differing prognostic characteristics [23]. VL was associated with fewer tracheal intubation adverse events (eg, mainstem bronchial intubation, recognized esophageal intubation, or vomiting without aspiration) but not fewer severe events (eg, cardiac arrest, laryngospasm, esophageal intubation with delayed recognition, or aspiration) or need for multiple (≥3) attempts. Based on this and evidence from other studies, VL may improve the chance of successful intubation in children with difficult airways (eg, limited mouth opening, cervical spine immobility, or severe micrognathia) but may have less benefit when compared with DL for intubation of children with otherwise normal airways. (See "Devices for difficult endotracheal intubation in children", section on ‘Efficacy’.)
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Diagnostic approach to constipation impacts pediatric emergency department disposition.

Author(s): Chumpitazi, Corrie E; Rees, Chris A; Camp, Elizabeth A; Henkel, Erin B; Valdez, Karina L; Chumpitazi, Bruno P

Source: The American journal of emergency medicine; Oct 2017; vol. 35 (no. 10); p. 1490-1493
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Abstract: OBJECTIVES: Constipation is a common cause of abdominal pain in children presenting to the emergency department (ED). The objectives of this study were to determine the diagnostic evaluation undertaken for constipation and to assess the association of the evaluation with final ED disposition.

METHODS: A retrospective chart review of children presenting to the pediatric ED of a quaternary care children's hospital with abdominal pain that received a soap suds enema therapy.

RESULTS: A total of 512 children were included, 270 (52.7%) were female, and the median age was 8.0 (IQR: 4.0-11.0). One hundred and thirty eight patients (27%) had a digital rectal exam (DRE), 120 (22.8%) had bloodwork performed, 218 (43%) had urinalysis obtained, 397 (77.5%) had abdominal radiographs, 120 (23.4%) had abdominal ultrasounds, and 18 (3.5%) had computed tomography scans. Children who had a DRE had a younger median age (6.0, IQR: 3.0-9.25 vs. 8.0, IQR: 4.0-12.0; p<0.001) and were significantly less likely to have radiologic imaging (OR=0.50, 95% CI 0.32-0.78; p=0.002), but did not have an increased odds of being discharged home. After adjusting for gender, ethnicity, and significant past medical history those with an abdominal radiograph were less likely to be discharged to home (aOR=0.56, 95% CI 0.31-1.01; p=0.05). CONCLUSION: The diagnostic evaluation of children diagnosed with fecal impaction in the ED varied. Abdominal imaging may be avoided if children receive a DRE. When children presenting to the ED with abdominal pain had an abdominal radiograph, they were more likely to be admitted.
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Risk Factors for Adverse Events in Emergency Department Procedural Sedation for Children.

Author(s): Bhatt, Maala; Johnson, David W; Chan, Jason; Taljaard, Monica; Barrowman, Nick; Farion, Ken J; Ali, Samina; Beno, Suzanne; Dixon, Andrew; McTimoney, C Michelle; Dubrovsky, Alexander Sasha; Sourial, Nadia; Roback, Mark G; Sedation Safety Study Group of Pediatric Emergency Research Canada (PERC)

Source: JAMA pediatrics; Oct 2017; vol. 171 (no. 10); p. 957-964

Publication Date: Oct 2017

Publication Type(s): Multicenter Study Journal Article Observational Study

PubMedID: 28828486

Available at JAMA pediatrics - from EBSCO (MEDLINE Complete)

Abstract: Importance: Procedural sedation for children undergoing painful procedures is standard practice in emergency departments worldwide. Previous studies of emergency department sedation are limited by their single-center design and are underpowered to identify risk factors for serious
adverse events (SAEs), thereby limiting their influence on sedation practice and patient outcomes. Objective To examine the incidence and risk factors associated with sedation-related SAEs. Design, Setting, and Participants This prospective, multicenter, observational cohort study was conducted in 6 pediatric emergency departments in Canada between July 10, 2010, and February 28, 2015. Children 18 years or younger who received sedation for a painful emergency department procedure were enrolled in the study. Of the 9657 patients eligible for inclusion, 6760 (70.0%) were enrolled and 6295 (65.1%) were included in the final analysis. Exposures The primary risk factor was receipt of sedation medication. The secondary risk factors were demographic characteristics, preprocedural medications and fasting status, current or underlying health risks, and procedure type. Main Outcomes and Measures Four outcomes were examined: SAEs, significant interventions performed in response to an adverse event, oxygen desaturation, and vomiting. Results Of the 6295 children included in this study, 4190 (66.6%) were male and the mean (SD) age was 8.0 (4.6) years. Adverse events occurred in 736 patients (11.7%; 95% CI, 6.4%-16.9%). Oxygen desaturation (353 patients [5.6%]) and vomiting (328 [5.2%]) were the most common of these adverse events. There were 69 SAEs (1.1%; 95% CI, 0.5%-1.7%), and 86 patients (1.4%; 95% CI, 0.7%-2.1%) had a significant intervention. Use of ketamine hydrochloride alone resulted in the lowest incidence of SAEs (17 [0.4%]) and significant interventions (37 [0.9%]). The incidence of adverse sedation outcomes varied significantly with the type of sedation medication. Compared with ketamine alone, propofol alone (3.7%; odds ratio [OR], 5.6; 95% CI, 2.3-13.1) and the combinations of ketamine and fentanyl citrate (3.2%; OR, 6.5; 95% CI, 2.5-15.2) and ketamine and propofol (2.1%; OR, 4.4; 95% CI, 2.3-8.7) had the highest incidence of SAEs. The combinations of ketamine and fentanyl (4.1%; OR, 4.0; 95% CI, 1.8-8.1) and ketamine and propofol (2.5%; OR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.2-3.8) had the highest incidence of significant interventions. Conclusions and Relevance The incidence of adverse sedation outcomes varied significantly with type of sedation medication. Use of ketamine only was associated with the best outcomes, resulting in significantly fewer SAEs and interventions than ketamine combined with propofol or fentanyl.
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Emergency department attendance following 4-component meningococcal B vaccination in infants.

Author(s): Kapur, Sarah; Bourke, Thomas; Maney, Julie-Ann; Moriarty, Paul

Source: Archives of disease in childhood; Oct 2017; vol. 102 (no. 10); p. 899-902
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Available at Archives of disease in childhood - from BMJ Journals

Abstract: INTRODUCTION In September 2015, the UK became the first country in the world to introduce the 4-component meningococcal B vaccine (4CMenB) into the routine vaccine schedule for infants. 4CMenB is known to cause fever in infants. Infants presenting with fever, particularly those under 3 months, have a significant risk of serious bacterial infection (SBI). METHO...
Ireland, within 4 days of receiving 4CMenB. RESULTS 35 ED attendances in infants aged 30-180 days were due to symptoms occurring after primary vaccinations including 4CMenB, representing an estimated 0.8% of the vaccinated population in the catchment area. 86% of infants presented after the first vaccine and parents reported giving paracetamol to 94% of infants. 80% of infants presented with fever. Blood tests were performed in 62% of infants and leucocytosis was present in 73%. All cultures taken were negative and 51% were admitted to hospital. 100% of final diagnoses were vaccine related (diagnosis made by exclusion). DISCUSSION In this study, an estimated 0.8% of the vaccinated population in the catchment area attended ED with symptoms occurring after primary vaccinations including 4CMenB. Infants with fever have a higher risk of SBI, but infants with fever in the post-vaccination period may not have the same risk. Further data are essential to inform national guidelines on investigation and management of fever in infants following vaccination with 4CMenB, possibly incorporating a less-invasive approach.
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