

Trust Board Date: 27 May 2009 Agenda Item: 10

Title

Summary of Staff Survey results 2008

### Summary

The annual Staff Survey results and analysis have been published by the Healthcare Commission. A report is in enclosed detailing i) the areas of positive change for the Trust in comparison to the surveys undertaken in 2007 & 2006 and ii) the areas the Trust needs to focus improvement in.

### Recommendations

For discussion, decision, information:

The Trust Board is asked to receive the Staff Survey summary results for information and agree the next steps for robust action planning.

### Author

Deborah Tunnell ~ Head of Recruitment & Retention

### Presented by

Alex Nestor ~ Acting Director of Workforce and Organisational Development

### Impact Assessed

No

# **University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust**

# **Summary of Staff Survey Results 2008**

# 1. <u>Introduction</u>

This report to the Trust Board details the results from the national staff survey conducted in the Trust in 2008. For the first time the survey has been structured around the four pledges to staff in the NHS Constitution which was published in January 2009, together with two additional themes, Staff Satisfaction and Equality and Diversity.

The report highlights the key findings and notes the position of the Trust in terms of its local performance and the organisation's relative position to other acute Trusts nationally.

## 2. <u>Background</u>

Questionnaires were sent to a random sample of 805 staff at UH Bristol, out of which 531 took part in the 2008 survey. This is a response rate of 66% and is in the highest 20% of acute Trusts in England, comparing with a response rate of 62% for UH Bristol in the 2007 survey.

| Gender                    | Percentage of survey respondents |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Male                      | 24%                              |
| Female                    | 76%                              |
| Age                       |                                  |
| Between 16 and 30         | 22%                              |
| Between 31 and 40         | 26%                              |
| Between 41 and 50         | 27%                              |
| 51 and over               | 25%                              |
| Ethnic background         |                                  |
| White                     | 85%                              |
| Black and minority ethnic | 15%                              |
| Disabled status           |                                  |
| Disabled                  | 10%                              |
| Not disabled              | 90%                              |
| Length of time in Trust   |                                  |
| Less than a year          | 12%                              |
| Between 1 to 2 years      | 10%                              |
| Between 3 to 5 years      | 19%                              |
| Between 6 to 10 years     | 26%                              |
| Between 11 to 15 years    | 9%                               |
| Over 15 years             | 24%                              |

The demographic characteristics of the responses were as follows:

# 3. <u>Key Findings</u>

As in previous years, the detailed content of the questionnaire has been summarised and presented in the form of Key Findings. This year there are 36 such Key Findings compared to 26 Key Findings in 2007. They appear under the following 6 headings:

- ∞ **Staff Pledge 1:** To provide all staff with clear roles and responsibilities and rewarding jobs for teams and individuals that make a difference to patients, their families and carers and communities.
- Staff Pledge 2: To provide all staff with personal development, access to appropriate training for their jobs and line management support to succeed.
- Staff Pledge 3: To provide support and opportunities for staff to maintain their health, well-being and safety.
- Staff Pledge 4: To engage staff in decisions that affect them and the services they provide, individually, through representative organisations and through local partnership working arrangements. All staff will be empowered to put forward ways to deliver better and safer services for patients and their families.
- ∞ Additional Theme: Staff Satisfaction
- ∞ **Additional Theme:** Equality and Diversity

### The findings are reported in two ways:

- Percentage scores i.e. percentage of staff giving a particular response to a survey question
- ∞ Scale summary scores, calculated by converting staff responses to particular questions into scores. For each of these scale summary scores the minimum score is always 1 and the maximum score is 5

### The table below shows the Key Findings results in the following format:

- $\infty$  The change since the 2007 and 2006 surveys
- ∞ The change in the Trust being statistically significant or not to 2007. (It is likely there would be some small change simply due to sample differences between the two years. If the change is not significant, there is no evidence of a real change in the Trust score)
- ∞ The position (ranking) of UH Bristol compared to all acute Trusts nationally in 2008

- Key:
- $\sqrt{}$  = Positive finding e.g. in the best 20% of acute trusts, better than average, better than 2007
- ! = Negative finding e.g. in the worse 20% of acute Trusts, worse than average, worse than 2007
- \* = Key Finding was not calculated/questioned in the 2007 or 2006 surveys

Unless stated the higher the score the better. There are some scores for which a high score would represent a negative finding.

# 4. <u>Areas the Trust performed well in</u>

Some findings in this table reflect a positive improvement locally for the Trust, but a poor comparison to other acute Trusts nationally +

| Area  | 2008  | 2007  | 2006  | Statistically | Ranking in 2008                     |
|---|-------|-------|-------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| Staff Pledge 1  | score | score | score | Significant?  |                                     |
| % feeling<br>satisfied with the<br>quality of work<br>and patient care<br>they are able to<br>deliver | 62%   | *     | *     | -             | Average                             |
| % agreeing their<br>role makes a<br>difference to<br>patients   | 91%   | *     | *     | -             | √<br>Above (better than)<br>average |
| % feeling valued<br>by their work<br>colleagues   | 79%   | *     | *     |               | Highest (best) 20%                  |
| % agreeing they<br>have an<br>interesting job   | 83%   | *     | *     | -             | Highest (best) 20%                  |
| Quality of job<br>design  | 3.42  | 3.41  | 3.35  | No            | √<br>Above (better than)<br>average |
| % working in a<br>well structured<br>team<br>environment+   | 35%   | 33%   | 36%   | No            | !<br>Below (worse than)<br>average  |

| Trat               | 2 15      | 2.20           | *     | Vaa  | ./                       |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------|-------|------|--------------------------|
| Trust              | 3.45      | 3.39           | *     | Yes  |                          |
| commitment to      |           |                |       |      | Highest (best) 20%       |
| work-life balance  |           | <b>7</b> 2.5.1 |       |      | 1                        |
| % using flexible   | 77%       | 73%            | *     | No   | $\bigvee$                |
| working options    |           |                |       |      | Highest (best) 20%       |
| Staff Pledge 2     |           |                |       |      |                          |
| % feeling there    | 48%       | *              | *     | -    | $\sqrt{1-1}$             |
| are good           |           |                |       |      | Highest (best) 20%       |
| opportunities to   |           |                |       |      |                          |
| develop their      |           |                |       |      |                          |
| potential at work  |           |                |       |      |                          |
| % receiving job-   | 82%       | 78%            | *     | No   |                          |
| relevant training, |           |                |       |      | Highest (best) 20%       |
| learning or        |           |                |       |      |                          |
| development in     |           |                |       |      |                          |
| last 12 months     |           |                |       |      |                          |
| % having well      | 29%       | 29%            | 38%   | No   | ν                        |
| structured         | _ / / 0   | <i></i> ,0     | 2070  | 110  | Above (better than)      |
| appraisal in last  |           |                |       |      | average                  |
| 12 months          |           |                |       |      | average                  |
| Support from       | 3.66      | 3.62           | 3.49  | Yes  | 2                        |
| immediate          | 5.00      | 5.02           | 5.49  | 1 05 | V<br>Lickast (bast) 200/ |
|                    |           |                |       |      | Highest (best) 20%       |
| managers           |           |                |       |      |                          |
| Staff Pledge 3     | 200/      | 020/           | 210/  | NT _ |                          |
| % suffering work   | 20%       | 23%            | 21%   | No   |                          |
| related injury in  | (lower    |                |       |      | Highest (worst) 20%      |
| last 12 months+    | score the |                |       |      |                          |
|                    | better)   | 0.501          | 0.504 | * *  |                          |
| % suffering work   | 29%       | 35%            | 35%   | Yes  |                          |
| related stress in  | (lower    |                |       |      | Above (worse than)       |
| last 12 months+    | score the |                |       |      | average                  |
|                    | better)   |                |       |      |                          |
| Availability of    | 4.58      | 4.32           | 4.36  | Yes  | !                        |
| hand-washing       |           |                |       |      | Lowest (worst) 20%       |
| materials+         |           |                |       |      |                          |
| % reporting        | 95%       | 94%            | *     | No   | Average                  |
| errors, near       |           |                |       |      |                          |
| misses or          |           |                |       |      |                          |
| incidents          |           |                |       |      |                          |
| witnessed in last  |           |                |       |      |                          |
| month              |           |                |       |      |                          |
| Fairness &         | 3.43      | 3.42           | 3.44  | No   |                          |
| effectiveness of   |           |                |       |      | Above (better than)      |
| procedures for     |           |                |       |      | average                  |
| reporting errors,  |           |                |       |      | utorugo                  |
| near misses or     |           |                |       |      |                          |
| incidents          |           |                |       |      |                          |
|                    |           |                |       |      |                          |
| % experiencing     | 13%       | 14%            | 12%   | No   | 1                        |
| 70 experiencing    | 1.5 /0    | 17/0           | 1 2/0 | 110  | ·                        |

| physical violence  | (lower    |      |      |     | Above (worse than)  |
|--------------------|-----------|------|------|-----|---------------------|
| from               | score the |      |      |     | average             |
| patients/relatives | better)   |      |      |     | uvolugo             |
| in last 12         |           |      |      |     |                     |
| months+            |           |      |      |     |                     |
| % experiencing     | 18%       | 21%  | 19%  | No  | Average             |
| harassment,        | (lower    | _1/0 | 1970 | 110 |                     |
| bullying or abuse  | the score |      |      |     |                     |
| from staff in last | the       |      |      |     |                     |
| 12 months          | better)   |      |      |     |                     |
| Perceptions of     | 3.62      | 3.58 | *    | Yes |                     |
| effective action   |           |      |      |     | Highest (best) 20%  |
| from employer      |           |      |      |     |                     |
| towards violence   |           |      |      |     |                     |
| and harassment     |           |      |      |     |                     |
| Staff Pledge 4     |           |      |      |     |                     |
| % reporting good   | 27%       | *    | *    | -   |                     |
| communication      |           |      |      |     | Above (better than) |
| between senior     |           |      |      |     | average             |
| management and     |           |      |      |     |                     |
| staff              |           |      |      |     |                     |
| % able to          | 68%       | *    | *    | -   |                     |
| contribute         |           |      |      |     | Highest (best) 20%  |
| towards            |           |      |      |     |                     |
| improvements at    |           |      |      |     |                     |
| work               |           |      |      |     |                     |
| Staff              |           |      |      |     |                     |
| Satisfaction       |           |      |      |     |                     |
| Staff job          | 3.51      | 3.45 | 3.46 | Yes | $\checkmark$        |
| satisfaction       |           |      |      |     | Highest (best) 20%  |
| Staff intention to | 2.61      | 2.70 | 2.63 | Yes | !                   |
| leave jobs+        | (lower    |      |      |     | Above (worse than)  |
|                    | score the |      |      |     | average             |
|                    | better)   |      |      |     |                     |
| Equality &         |           |      |      |     |                     |
| Diversity          |           |      |      |     |                     |
| % believing the    | 90%       | 88%  | *    | No  | Average             |
| Trust provides     |           |      |      |     |                     |
| equal              |           |      |      |     |                     |
| opportunities for  |           |      |      |     |                     |
| career             |           |      |      |     |                     |
| progression or     |           |      |      |     |                     |
| promotion          |           |      |      |     |                     |

# 4.1 <u>Areas the Trust needs to improve in</u>

Some findings in this table reflect a negative change locally for the Trust, but a positive comparison to other acute Trusts nationally +

| Area   | 2008<br>score                                | 2007<br>score | 2006<br>score | Statistically<br>Significant? | Ranking in 2008                     |
|--|--|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Staff Pledge 1   |  | Score         | 50010         |                               |                                     |
| Work pressure<br>felt by staff   | 3.20<br>(lower<br>score the<br>better)       | 3.18          | 3.21          | No                            | !<br>Above (worse than)<br>average  |
| % working extra<br>hours   | 68%<br>(lower<br>the score<br>the<br>better) | 67%           | 73%           | No                            | Average                             |
| Staff Pledge 2   |  |               |               |                               |                                     |
| % appraised in<br>last 12 months+  | 72%  | 76%           | 73%           | No                            | √<br>Above (better than)<br>average |
| % appraised<br>with personal<br>development<br>plans in last 12<br>months+                           | 60%  | 64%           | 57%           | No                            | √<br>Above (better than)<br>average |
| Staff Pledge 3   |  |               |               |                               |                                     |
| % receiving<br>health & safety<br>training in last<br>12 months                                      | 70%  | 79%           | 70%           | Yes                           | !<br>Below (worse than)<br>average  |
| % witnessing<br>potentially<br>harmful errors,<br>near misses or<br>incidents in last<br>month       | 44%<br>(lower<br>score the<br>better)        | 39%           | 42%           | No                            | !<br>Highest (worst) 20%            |
| % experiencing<br>physical<br>violence from<br>staff in last 12<br>months                            | 2%<br>(lower<br>score the<br>better)         | 1%            | *             | No                            | Average                             |
| % experiencing<br>harassment,<br>bullying or<br>abuse from<br>patients/relative<br>in last 12 months | 27%<br>(lower<br>score the<br>better)        | 26%           | *             | No                            | !<br>Highest (worst) 20%            |

| Staff Pledge 4    |     |   |   |   |                    |
|-------------------|-----|---|---|---|--------------------|
| % agreeing they   | 44% | * | * | - | !                  |
| understand their  |     |   |   |   | Below (worse than) |
| role and where it |     |   |   |   | average            |
| fits in           |     |   |   |   | -                  |
| Staff             |     |   |   |   |                    |
| Satisfaction      |     |   |   |   |                    |
| % that would      | 50% | * | * | - | !                  |
| recommend the     |     |   |   |   | Below (worse than) |
| Trust as a place  |     |   |   |   | average            |
| to work           |     |   |   |   | _                  |
| Equality &        |     |   |   |   |                    |
| Diversity         |     |   |   |   |                    |
| % having E&D      | 18% | * | * | - | !                  |
| training in last  |     |   |   |   | Lowest (worst) 20% |
| 12 months         |     |   |   |   |                    |

To enable comparison between years, scores from 2007 & 2006 have been re-calculated and re-weighted using the 2008 formulae, so may appear slightly different from figures in previous reports.

# 5. <u>Next Steps</u>

It is proposed that a detailed analysis of the Key Findings in the 2008 staff survey is undertaken in close alignment with the 2008 Loud and Clear Campaign results and the 2008 Patient Survey. Timeframes for this are to be agreed.

Prepared by: Deborah Tunnell, Head of Recruitment & Retention Presented by: Alex Nestor, Acting Director of Workforce and Organisational Development May 2009